

Why Some Reject the Bible

There is in existence today an overwhelming amount of evidence that absolutely authenticates the inspiration of the Holy Bible, which cannot be said for any other religious text laying claim to divine origin.

Given these incredible facts, Christians are often surprised that millions of people from the most educated nations and scholarly backgrounds refuse to even seriously consider the facts. But this should come as no surprise at all; Jesus warned about it:

And this is the judgement, that the light has come into the world and people loved darkness rather than light because their deeds were evil.

For all who do evil hate the light and do not come to the light, so that their deeds may not be exposed.

But those who do what is true come to the light, so that it may be clearly seen that their deeds have been done in God.

(John 3:19-22)

The famous British philosopher and writer Aldous Huxley admitted the truth of these words. He said: “Most ignorance is vincible ignorance.” In other words, people don’t know because they don’t want to know.

Huxley was one of the most influential writers who did much to promote the Theory of Evolution. But he confessed the real reason for his commitment to Darwin’s theory was to enable him to escape the implications of a Universe created by a Moral Being to whom he would one day have to give an account.

This is the same with most people in society today. They reject the Bible and prefer not to consider the evidence that proves it to be God’s inspired Word simply because they know its contents would interfere with how they wish to live. There is no other logical reason. I challenge you to consider the following information and not reach the same conclusion.

Choosing Fantasy Over Facts

After discovering that there is almost no possibility that life could have spontaneously generated from the inorganic chemicals that were supposed to have existed in the Earth’s atmosphere and surface water at the beginning, co-discoverer of the structure of DNA, Dr Francis Crick, resorted to space fiction as an alternative to account for the origin of life.

In his book *Life Itself*, Crick declared that the only alternative to account for the existence of the extremely complex organisms found on planet Earth was that life actually developed through evolution in some other galaxy and was then transported to Earth through alien star-ships or solar winds. This theory is known as *Panspermia* and is accepted by a host of scientists.

Someone compared his conclusion to a person who says that a super computer had formed itself by accident in his living room, together with all the other machinery needed to operate the system, and fell together as the result of a big storm!

Since Crick himself acknowledged his theory had no evidence to back it up, we can only conclude that, like Huxley, he preferred it to admitting that God exists and is the Designer of all life on Earth.

Taking Refuge in Intermediaries

Another famous scientist who chose fantasy over facts was the famous British astronomer and physicist Sir Fred Hoyle. He admitted that enormous problems existed with the Theory of Evolution.

In his book, *The Intelligent Universe*, he said the chance that higher life forms might have emerged by coincidence is comparable with the chance that a tornado sweeping through a junkyard might assemble a Boeing 747 from the materials, whirl them round and leave behind a fully functioning jet plane.

The fact, as we all know, is that a hurricane picking up the pieces of a jumbo jet would whirl them around and leave behind a scrapyard, not the opposite.

After such an admission, you might have expected Professor Hoyle to maybe even consider the Genesis Account.

Not at all! He preferred to take refuge in the theory that life was purposely “seeded” upon Earth in the distant past by some intergalactic space aliens who were themselves created in another galaxy by a super-intelligent being of a higher intelligence.

He further claimed that the aliens that seeded life to Earth have a responsibility to serve this higher intelligence, but humans, as a secondary life form, do not.

Is Professor Hoyle’s attitude not the same as the others? The evidence forced him to admit that a Supreme Being exists and is the ultimate Creator of all. But in order to escape the spiritual consequences of his affirmations, he deluded himself by inventing an entirely imaginary intermediate group of alien beings from another galaxy!

Accepted by Blind Faith!

When it became clear to the point of embarrassment that the fossil record provides no real evidence whatsoever of the millions of gradual transitional steps, or missing links, required by the Theory of Evolution, some evolutionary scientists proposed a new theory of evolution called *punctuated equilibrium*.

The idea was actually developed in the 1970s by Niles Eldredge and Stephen J. Gould and is one of today's most widely accepted theories.

In this model there is no evolutionary change in a species for millions of years (equilibrium) and then suddenly these creatures change spontaneously to a new species in one leap within a single generation without any gradual or transitional process.

Then followed periods of stagnation, which could last for anything up to ten-million generations, after which there would be another burst of life, where new types and species suddenly appeared on the scene.

Evolutionists claim that this is why there is no fossil evidence in the rock strata for evolution. Let it be said, there is not one shred of any credible genetic or mechanical evidence in support of this theory, as Eldredge himself admitted.

A Disgrace to God and Science

These are only a few examples of the many alternative theories circulating today in the scientific world that are in no way supported by scientific evidence.

On the contrary, as we stated earlier, they are invented by those who wish to escape the consequences of the truths of the Bible about God's Creation, salvation and man's ultimate judgement before Him when we die.

Finally, our short study further proves it is not Bible-believing Christians that multiply entities unnecessarily. For the reader not familiar with this philosophical principle, it was declared by a fourteenth century Oxford teacher by the name of William of Ockham, hence the widely known name, *Ockham's Razor*.

This recognized scientific principle, "entities should not be multiplied unnecessarily," was used by evolutionists and atheists in the past to exclude God as an explanation for all life existence. It was said that the world was self-explanatory and God was therefore an entity that was not needed.